

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/851,208	05/07/2001	Edward Federowicz	3233		
7:	590 10/19/2004		EXAMINER		
EDWARD FEDEROWICZ			CONLEY, FREDRICK C		
98 WEST 32NI BAYONNE, N			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3673	3673	
		DATE MAILED: 10/19/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commence	09/851,208	FEDEROWICZ, EDWARD					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Fredrick C Conley	3673					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Ju	<u>ine 2004</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
• •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4,5 and 7-9</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	☑ Claim(s) <u>4,5 and 7-9</u> is/are rejected.						
	)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. )☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
11) I he oath of declaration is objected to by the Ex	taminer. Note the attached Office	Action of form P10-132.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document  2. Certified copies of the priority document  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document  application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>		Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/851,208

Art Unit: 3673

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 4-5 and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Pat. No. 4,417,638 to Harvey.

In reference to claim 4, Harvey discloses an air pallet comprising:

a board 22 having a top and a bottom; and

a plenum member 24 attached to the bottom of the board, wherein the plenum member is adapted to receive a flow of pressurized air through an intake port, the patient board having an intake port hole 28 adapted for receiving the intake port, and the plenum member further adapted to release the flow through a plurality of holes (24b) in the plenum member to provide a layer of air below the board supporting a load. With regards to the Applicant's recitation the apparatus intended for and supporting a patient or approved for use in a hospital, the invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

Application/Control Number: 09/851,208

Art Unit: 3673

ı

Regarding claim 5, wherein the plenum member further includes an intake port for receiving the flow of pressurized air (col. 3 lines 22-27).

Regarding claim 7, wherein the flow of pressurized air is delivered from a blower motor through an air hose 16.

In reference to claim 8, Harvey discloses an apparatus comprising:

a board 22 having a top side and bottom; and

a plenum member 24 attached to the bottom of the board, wherein the plenum member is adapted to receive a flow of pressurized air and release the flow downwardly through a plurality of holes (24b) in the plenum member to provide a layer of air below the board supporting a load. With regards to the Applicant's recitation the apparatus intended for and supporting a patient or approved for use in a hospital, the invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

In reference to claim 9, Harvey discloses a patient levitation apparatus for a patient comprising:

a patient board 22 having a top side and a bottom, the board; and a plenum member 24 attached to the bottom of the board, wherein the plenum

**Art Unit: 3673** 

member is adapted to receive a flow of pressurized air and release the flow downwardly through a plurality of holes (24b) in the plenum member to provide a layer of air below the patient board supporting the patient. With regards to the Applicant's recitation the apparatus adapted for use on a hospital bed, the invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 6/23/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. With regards to the Applicant's recitation the apparatus intended for and supporting a patient or approved for use in a hospital, the invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.

## Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/851,208

Art Unit: 3673

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fredrick C Conley whose telephone number is 308-7468. The examiner can normally be reached on m-th m-fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Shackelford can be reached on 308-2978. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

FC.

MICHAEL F. TRETTEL
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 358

Page 6